



New Frontier Coalition

December 2005 News

Sovereignty Issue - Volume 12.5

Featuring~Sovereignty Lost

By Jeff Weldy, Florida

Where has my country gone, long time passing
When will they ever learn, when will they ever learn ?

Where has our Sovereignty gone, long time passing
They take them, one by one, They take them One by One
Sovereign lost as time went by, first was free speech

Then there was Property Rights, They take them One by One

Then one day they attacked Parental Rights, long time passing
Giving kids a lot more rights, leaving parents in a plight

Chorus: Where has my Country Gone,
Long Time Passing
When will they ever learn, When will they ever learn

Where has my Sovereignty Gone, We're now jailed and silenced
Do what the master says, do what the Master says

They want to take my guns from me,
Leaving me defenseless
So they can come right on in, taking my sovereignty

I can't do anything, they threaten me and family~Take my house and property, take my whole prosperity

Chorus: Where has my Country Gone,
Long Time Passing
When will they ever learn, when will they ever learn

They tax me so much I can't pay, they take it all away~Leaving me and family, Homeless, Desperate and in need

They spy on me and family, watching me so intensely~Hoping to watch me fall, so they can come and take it all

I'm so much, far in Debt, Trying to keep all my wits
Soon they'll open the Debtors Farm, They'll take me there and do me harm

Now they have Thought Control, I can't think anymore~If they find out how I think, They'll throw me in the Public Jail

They have the Patriot Act, They use it against me for anything~They say that I'm a Threat, Just because I'm a Patriot

Chorus: Where has my Country Gone,

Long Time Passing~When will they ever learn, When will they ever learn.

Not Long Ago it wasn't like this, We didn't have all these threats~Sovereignty came first number one, We were all American sons

Now Invaders come and stay, from Mexico is where they came~Claiming lands that don't belong, Claiming Lands that don't belong

Bringing drugs and taking Jobs, the borders stay open no matter what
More Sovereignty is now gone, They take them all, One by One

Now they take God out of peoples lives,
Long Time Passing
Take God out and let Satan in, That's where we're headed to the end

This song mentions some,
Sovereignty that came and now is gone
Every minute Sovereignty is lost, We must get it back, no matter the cost

Where has our Sovereignty gone, Long Time Passing
We stood by and watched it go, We Stood by and Watched it go

Communists Links to Federal Reserve

By Beaver Cole - Kilgore, Texas

The paper money in your wallet contains these words: "Federal Reserve Note. This note is legal tender for all debts, public and private." Why does paper money not state that it is a note from the Treasury of the United States? The Federal Reserve is not the Treasury, it is a privately owned central bank. To put it in every day terms, it is a private corporation, which claims to provide a service to the people of the United States by furnishing the money which is used in our banking system.

The monetary system of the United States is in the hands of a few very wealthy and powerful individuals who control our economy. What this means is that the power of the Federal Reserve exceeds and supercedes that of our President and Congress. The Federal Reserve is not accountable to them. They have never published an annual report and their meetings are not reported to the press until six months after they have made a monetary decision.

WHO OWNS the Federal Reserve System?
There has always been much speculation about who owns the Federal Reserve System.

We don't mean the managers of the twelve Federal Reserve Banks, nor the members of the Federal Reserve Board who makes decisions in favor of the owners, nor those who sit in the Open Market Committee, which operates only through the New York Branch of the Federal Reserve. We mean the real owners of the Federal Reserve. This has been one of the best-kept secrets of all time, because the Federal Reserve Act of 1913 provided that the names of the owner banks must remain secret. However, R. E. McMaster, publisher of the newsletter *The Reaper*, asked his Swiss and Saudi Arabian contacts which banks hold controlling stock in the Federal Reserve System. The answer:

- Rothschild Banks of London and Berlin
- Lazard Brothers Bank of Paris
- Israel Moses Seif Banks of Italy
- Warburg Bank of Hamburg and Amsterdam
- Lehman Brothers Bank of New York
- Kuhn, Loeb Bank of New York
- Chase Manhattan Bank of New York
- Goldman, Sachs Bank of New York

The Federal Reserve has NEVER been audited, nor has the Federal Reserve NEVER paid a single penny in taxes other than property tax. TRILLIONS upon TRILLIONS of TAX FREE DOLLARS have been laundered off the backs of the simple minded people under the income tax scheme for payment of the erroneous and fictitious National Debt owed to the Federal Reserve.

Why did Congress surrender its obligation set forth by the Constitution to regulate and maintain our national monetary system? Does the Constitution allow Congress to delegate their responsibilities to the Federal Reserve? The Federal Reserve is no more FEDERAL than the Federal Express. It is a private banking cartel.

Why are Sovereign citizens of the states so willing to surrender their freedoms and liberties caused by unconstitutional acts such as Executive Orders, Income Tax, Sales Tax,

War Powers, NAFTA, GATT, and a host of other communist goals? Are we brain dead? Have our brain cells quit talking to each other?

Abbreviated references such as the FED, GATT, NAFTA, and WTO have become familiar to most Americans. The news media provide reports about free trade when there is a debate among politicians, but the details presented are usually scant. The public has not been given the complete and proper picture when it comes to these international agreements.

"We are grateful to The Washington Post, The New York Times, Time magazine, and other great publications whose directors have attended our meetings and respected their promise of discretion for almost forty years. It would have been impossible for us to develop our plan for the world if we had been subject to the bright lights of publicity during those years. But the world is now more sophisticated and prepared to march towards a world government. The supernational sovereignty of an intellectual elite and world bankers is surely preferable to the national auto-determination practiced in past centuries." - David Rockefeller, at a 1991 Bilderberger meeting.

On November 20, 1993, the Senate voted on a treaty called the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA). The Senate approved it by a vote of sixty-one to thirty-eight, but a perplexing question lingers to this day. Since NAFTA was passed by less than two thirds of the Senate, how could it possibly be valid under the Constitution? After a long and heated discussion of pertinent issues, why was there no mention of this constitutional concern? Considering the fact that thirty-eight senators voted against NAFTA, and numerous high profile leaders sought the demise of the treaty, it would seem as if and all arguments would have been used in an attempt to defeat the measure.

Make no mistake that GATT, NAFTA, WTO, FEDS (Federal Reserve), and the alleged ratification of the 16th Amendment (imposing income tax), all form a conspiracy for ONE WORLD GOVERNMENT being implemented by the intellectual elite and world bankers.

The trend towards world government involves the quite networking of thousands of individuals. If there is a conspiracy to establish a one world government, it has become an open one. Consider the title of H. G. Wells' book, *The Open Conspiracy: Blue Prints for a World Revolution*. The coporate elite reveal much about their plans, because they look at the people with contempt, having had their way for many years. President Nixon told *The New York Times* on November 10, 1972: "The average American is just like the child in the family." Averell Harriman said the American people wanted nothing better than to "go to the movies and drink Coke." In 1996, Ted Truner, head of CNN, said before an international forum: "The U.S. has got some of the dumbest people in the world. I want you to know that."

People attack the view that there is a conspiracy involving the wealthy and powerful to achieve world government. This is done because globalist advocates are unable to refute these claims. When the message can not be refuted, the messenger is attacked. Thomas Jefferson warned: "Single acts of tyranny may be ascribed to the accidental opinion of a day. But a series of oppressions, begun at a distinguished period, and pursued unalaterably through every change of ministers, too plainly proves a deliberate systematic plan of reducing us to slavery."

"Nationhood as we know it will be obsolete; all states will recognize a single, global authority. National sovereignty wasn't such a great idea after all." - Strobe Talbott, former Deputy Secretary of State and Bill Clinton's Oxford roommate, in *Time* magazine, July 1992.

"It is the sacred principles enshrined in the United Nations Charter to which the American people will henceforth pledge their allegiance." - President George Bush, addressing the U.N. General Assembly, February 1, 1992.

UN Law of the Sea Treaty Threatens US Sovereignty

By Tom DeWeese

Those driving the battle to entangle the United States in the United Nations' Law of the Sea Treaty (LOST) are fighting back, determined to paint any opponent as a radical who is out of touch with the way the world really works.

Senate Foreign Relations Chairman Richard Lugar (R-IN) and his allies are mad because they had hoped to sneak LOST through the Senate before anyone noticed. Opponents to the Treaty foiled that trick and blasted it to the nation. Americans rose up in protest and now the Law of the Sea Treaty is stuck. Lugar seemed genuinely shocked by the strength of the anti-treaty protests. Now it appears LOST is being held up without a scheduled vote by Senate Majority Leader Bill Frist (R-TN).

So Lugar and the pro-LOST forces have taken off the gloves, fighting back by increasing lobbying efforts, invoking the list of powerful government offices and departments which testified in favor of the treaty. These include the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs, the Chief of Naval Operations, the Departments of Defense, State, Commerce, Justice, and the EPA. Lugar insists that the Bush "Administration even helped write the resolution of advice and consent accompanying the treaty."

In addition, Lugar insists that:

"Contrary to some claims, the Law of the Sea Treaty provides no decision-making role for the United Nations. The words 'United Nations' appear in the title of the Convention only because that is where negotiations physically took place." "The Convention affirms unchallenged American rights to our ocean resources." "Every major American ocean industry supports the Convention."

"The Convention does not levy taxes or require any technology transfers." "Some claims have tried to portray President Reagan as being against the treaty. In fact, in 1983 he proclaimed that the United States would abide by all provisions of the Convention except those dealing with deep-seabed mining in the open ocean."

Those are pretty powerful arguments in favor of ratification of the Law of the Sea Treaty, if true. The fact is not one of these statements by Sen. Lugar is true.

Amazingly, sources close to President Bush reported just days after Sen. Lugar started the drive for ratification in the President's name that the President said he knew nothing about it. Others have reported that President Bush is actually opposed to ratification. So who in the Administration is pushing the Law of the Sea Treaty that President Ronald Reagan not only refused to sign, but actually fired the U.S. State Department staff that had negotiated it?

Some have speculated that Vice President Dick Cheney is the driving force behind the sudden move to ratify a treaty that should have been dead twenty years ago. The real force behind the renewed efforts for ratification appears to be John Turner, Assistant Secretary of State for Oceans and International Environmental and Scientific Affairs.

Turner comes from the world of radical environmentalism, having served as the CEO of the Conservation Fund and on the boards of the Land Trust Alliance, the National Wildlife Refuge Association, the Trumpeter Swan Society and several more "green" groups. These groups stand to gain massive power over the oceans through ratification of LOST. That's why they have been the main forces in demanding its passage.

Moreover, Turner is a close buddy of Dick Cheney, so his efforts for the treaty have been interpreted as being official White House policy. If Turner wins this round, his next attack will be for ratification of the UN's Biodiversity Treaty that the Senate refused to consider ten years ago.

Does the Law of the Sea Treaty give the UN decision-making power over the world's oceans? Of course it does. It is a common trick used by those who seek to implement UN policy to mention only the particular document in question as if it lived in a vacuum. In fact, most of the UN treaties and programs are designed to couple and piggy-back on other such programs, creating an all-encompassing web of control.

The Law of the Sea Treaty is closely integrated with the Convention on Climate Change, the World Heritage Treaty, the Convention on Desertification Treaty, the Convention on Biological Diversity, the Convention on Ozone Depleting Substances and its Montreal Protocol, the Convention on Trade in Endangered Species, and several more that can be traced back directly to the International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN).

Six departments of our federal government (including the Department of State) and 44 U.S. environmental organizations are members of the IUCN. The IUCN uses the United Nations as the conduit to funnel the treaties to member countries for ratification.

As such, the United Nations becomes the monitor for enforcement and implementation of the treaties. For Sen. Lugar to imply that the UN is not even part of the process is beyond disingenuous; it's downright deceitful.

That's not Sen. Lugar's first attempt to mislead and deceive on the issue of LOST. His Foreign Relations Committee hearings would not allow a single negative word to be spoken against the treaty. Those testifying were carefully chosen to paint an urgent need for LOST.

Sen. Lugar claims that LOST "affirms unchallenged American rights to our ocean resources." This Lugar falsehood completely ignores Article 2, Paragraph 3 of the treaty which says, "The sovereignty over the territorial sea is exercised subject to this Convention and to other rules of international law." In addition, LOST's most powerful creation, the International Seabed Authority (ISA) will be the entity to enforce that section.

According to testimony submitted to the House International Relations Committee by Dr. Peter Leitner, the Center for Naval Analysis believes that the International Seabed Authority may one day be able to "take on a variety of low-intensity policing functions in support of international agreements." In other words, the Seabed Authority could become an ocean police force answerable to the United Nations.

The ISA has the power to set production controls for ocean mining, drilling and fishing, to control ocean exploration, issue permits and create regulations, and settle disputes in its own court. Private companies seeking to drill oil or mine ocean floors must first obtain a permit from the Seabed Authority. The Seabed Authority will be a regulatory agency, police force and court system all in one.

If the permit is granted and production begins, the Seabed Authority then charges a royalty fee or tax. Those royalties are then evenly distributed to all participating nations. Land-locked nations with no interest in the oceans will now profit from the efforts of private companies. Such a taxing system is now seen as a model for the creation of a global taxing system. Sen. Lugar tells outright lies when he says the treaty levies no taxes.

Sen. Lugar says that "every major American ocean industry supports the Convention." That is blatantly false. However, those who are in support do so because they believe that by being part of the process they will get a leg up on the competition. They believe in the system of "pull" rather than in free market competition. Pull is a system of powerful, non-elected bureaucrats who pull the strings, set

the prices, dictate the playing field and control the market. It's how things are done when there is no freedom of choice. Businesses that have signed on to LOST are either lazy or scared.

Even worse, the Seabed Authority doesn't just have the power to issue or deny permits and collect royalties. It also has the power to directly compete with private companies in the mining and drilling of the ocean floor through an entity called the "Enterprise." In this way, royalties paid to member nations would be much higher because they wouldn't have to share any profits with private companies.

Now, a reasonable person may conclude that an agency that has the power to issue permits to private companies or compete on its own for higher profits would likely choose the latter. Of course it would! And that's the point.

Imagine what such a system would do to the world economy? Imagine what will happen to oil prices and mineral prices needed to sustain our nation? Imagine such power in the hands of small, corrupt, jealous nations as would make up the seabed authority and you can understand why the Law of the Sea Treaty is a trap for the United States.

The mantra put forth by Sen. Lugar and the other proponents of LOST is that we need to ratify it in order to get "a seat at the table" so we can make decisions as to who serves on the International Seabed Authority, but the United States would have only one vote out of about 140. China, Cuba, and Barbados will have an equal vote. A block of small, third world nations that see LOST as an opportunity to "make America pay" can form a cartel to control policy.

One final point must be made. There is little understanding on Capitol Hill as to just how powerful and all encompassing the Law of the Sea Treaty can be. During hearings by the Senate Armed Services Committee, Chairman James Inhofe asked former UN Ambassador Jeane Kirkpatrick, "What about the air over the sea?" Does LOST have jurisdiction there? Her answer: not only the air but also the space could become subject to UN authority under this treaty.

And there's still more. Most opponents of LOST truthfully argue that it will give the UN control over all of the world's oceans that includes 70% of the earth's surface. The situation may be even worse than that.

The tangle of international agreements to be policed by the Law of the Sea Treaty leads one to be wary of a new report by the United States Commission on Ocean Policy which calls for new federal regulations to affect oceans and coasts. The Commission's report calls for an "eco-system management approach that goes far beyond the political boundaries like state lines" to strengthen the link between coastal and watershed management. Such language is straight out of the UN's Biodiversity Treaty.

The report is concerned with protecting

estuaries where oceanic species breed. Estuaries are fed by rivers. American rivers are lined with cities. Under the excuse of protecting the oceans, will the policing powers of LOST allow it to sail right up into the rivers of America, giving the UN inland control as well?

The Law of the Sea Treaty doesn't provide anything to the United States that we don't already have. It blatantly takes away our rights to free movement and private enterprise, and endangers our national sovereignty and independence. We don't need this treaty. If we allow it to pass we will regret it.

There is only one way to stop this madness. Keep the United States from ratifying the Law of the Sea Treaty and ignore its authority. Do that and there won't be any "table" to sit at. © 2005 American Policy Center Reprinted with permission from the American Policy Center Visit the American Policy Center at: <http://www.americanpolicy.org/default.htm>

GETTING RID OF NATIONAL SOVEREIGNTY

By Tony Ryan

I was going through some old papers this morning and found my records of correspondence resulting from when I worked as a consultant for NWO Inc. Gosh, I'd forgotten all about this stuff. Pretty cool operation, if I say so myself. I've just worked out I earned about \$3.4 million per policy proposal. Not bad cookies during the seventies.

Here's the thematic paragraph of the first letter:

Dear Mr Ryan. As discussed with my executives, you are to design a programme which will dilute the drive to maintain American national identity and sovereignty. Please use our agent, Mr Kissinger, in ongoing correspondence. A concise précis of your proposals would be appreciated.

Kindest regards

M. Rothschild.

Here's the précis I delivered:

1. Establish a series of free trade agreements and hamstring border patrols. This will result in a mass invasion of aliens who oppose national sovereignty.
2. Create a policy entitled Multiculturalism (attached) which will upvalue migrants and downvalue Americans, along with

- American values.
3. Take over the universities and promulgate Political Correctness, which will intimidate the people, inhibit criticism of government and repress free speech and, hence, objections to the diminution of sovereignty.
 4. Outsource jobs, insource labour and offshore industry. Frightened debt-ridden citizens don't make no trouble.
 5. Drive people of other countries, who live on prospective oil and gas pipelines, from their homelands and into Europe, Australia, Canada and the US; as refugees. This will so proportionately reduce the homegrown population that the demand for national sovereignty will be diluted to the point of effective non-existence.
 6. Align our armed forces with the UN and NATO to further confuse sovereign demarcations.

Of course, I had to leave the US. My life would not have been worth a plugged nickel if word got out, but life in the Australian outback is not so bad and the ranch I own (they call it a station here, dunno why) is bigger than the Texas I left.

WHY BUSH AND CONGRESS DISMANTLE AMERICA BY ARRANGEMENT

By Frosty Wooldridge

NewsWithViews.com

With 80 percent of the American public screaming for our president and Congress to secure our borders and stop illegal migration, why do you think President Bush and this Congress aren't listening?

Short answer: They promote a different agenda against the wishes of American citizens. Since we voted the SAME Congress and president back into office, they carry out their mission: destruction of our Constitution by arrangement.

In an interview with U.S. citizen James Youngblood, this journalist detected frightening realities manifesting across this nation.

Youngblood said, "Aside from all of the obvious problems, what bothers me the

most about the immigration question is the huge gap between what the great majority of people in this country appear to think should be done and the almost polar opposite stance that is taken by the government. This gap — or disconnect — between popular sentiment and official policy is so large that you have to question what, exactly, is really going on. That is, it's very hard to attribute this huge difference just to institutional ignorance and the normal level of bureaucratic stupidity. There's got to be more to it than that."

"From my own experience," Youngblood said, "when you see something that is this far out of kilter, it's a good idea to look for some kind of unstated agenda or overall design. Otherwise, you'll go crazy chasing down the same old rabbit trail without finding out why the trail was there in the first place. After working various tours of duty in state and local government as a planner/resource manager and having a good bit of hands-on involvement in local politics, I've learned to do this if you want to be very effective. From the academic side, you learn how to model various situations and to logically separate what are merely residual effects from real causes."

"On this basis, I personally conclude that things are as they are in this country because powerful people and institutions want them to be that way," Youngblood said. "What we observe in the United States — including the general dumbing down of the population and the substitution of partisan name calling for reasoned debate — is part of an agenda, and is NOT simply due to ignorance or an inability to think clearly about the issues confronting us."

"Illegal immigration, moreover, is not an exceptional case, but a powerful proof that the usually accepted 'model' of how our government works has been supplanted by something else entirely," Youngblood said. "Most Americans, as supported by both the anecdotal evidence of popular opinion outlets such as talk radio, the Internet, etc., and by legitimate, scientific polling data, overwhelmingly support stopping illegal migration. Yet, when you talk to almost any elected official of either party at any level of government, there is a total disconnect between what they say and do, and what their constituents want them to do. Their polite response, of course, is that immigration, illegal or otherwise, is good for the country."

"Why," Youngblood asked, "would they possibly say this?"

"Again, if the logic of limiting immigration into this country is so overwhelmingly on our side, and in the clear best

interest of most Americans," Youngblood continued, "why, then, do almost none of our elected officials take any action to do anything about it?"

While conducting the interview, I sensed a desperation I've seen in other Americans I've interviewed who 'realize' how fast this country is being taken over by outside interests via internal elected leaders. It's quickening by the day. It's being aided and abetted at the highest levels. Yet, most Americans are too trusting to realize their own elected officials all the way to the White House work against them.

"The only honest answer, supported by all the available data that I can ascertain, is that there is an enormous hidden agenda that is being followed," Youngblood said. "Moreover, it is understood to be of such importance that it dwarfs any concern for public opinion or even the legal provisions of the Constitution itself. Again, observe what the Bush Administration and 'our' Congress sanction regarding the immigration issue, and tell me — honestly — if you believe that they are in any way acting in our national interest or according to the Laws of the Land?"

In this country today, there are two kinds of people who are on 'the right side' of this issue, but they differ in the level of their understanding and effectiveness. Folks in the first group clearly see and understand the problem, but they still cling to the belief that 'the system' — once it is made aware of the problem — is capable of taking rational, effective action to fix it.

Youngblood said, "These are good people, but they remind me of the story of the naive Russian peasant woman who believed that the problems on her collective farm could all be solved — if only the right people were aware that they existed. 'Surely,' she lamented, 'dear Comrade Stalin would never allow such things to happen to us if he knew!' Well, Uncle Joe DID know, and his forced collectivization policies and purges out-killed Hitler by a four to one margin!"

"In our case, 'Comrade' Bush — and Congress — DO know exactly what is going on with respect to the immigration issue, and that the American people are not very happy about it," Youngblood said. "As in Communist Russia of the 1920s and 30s, however, the issue is NOT about what the American people want, but, rather, what geopolitical agenda (globalism) is being advanced at all costs."

Youngblood continued, "A smaller group of us have come to realize that we are in a political 'war' with 'our' own government, and that appealing to

authority — unless it is done with overwhelming public support and with full media coverage — is a waste of our time. Have you tried getting a straight answer on immigration from any of your elected officials lately? Makes your head hurt, doesn't it?"

One thing for sure, don't have any illusions that the existing 'system' in Washington wants to or will fix the illegal migration problem — unless we as citizens force them to do it. Millions of Americans must rise up in collective protest to stop this invasion that is being aided and abetted by our own leaders.

"Also, as many people are coming to recognize, despite its great military might, we may be looking at the end of America's accustomed dominance as an economic superpower and even its existence as an independent, sovereign nation," Youngblood said. "It happened to Rome. Whatever the role of illegal migration is meant to play in the Globalists' agenda for America, it is apparently of such critical importance to their plans for this nation that nothing short of a 'popular revolution' by the public can possibly blunt its planned impact."

"The question before us," Youngblood said, "is HOW to turn this anti-illegal immigration movement into a popular — should we say Populist? — political uprising to reclaim our traditional system of government. In the plainest possible language—through uncontrolled illegal immigration, we are literally under attack in terms of the planned destruction of our resource base and the deliberate eradication of our traditional American culture."

Youngblood said, "Most of 'our' elected representatives either (1) don't get it (2) don't care, or — worst of all — (3) act as though they are themselves part of a conspiracy to destroy this country. The FACT is — the majority of the Senate and House of Representatives are complicit in this drama either by doing nothing or by actively aiding this nation-destroying agenda. Maybe it's time that we forcibly remind them that this approach won't work any more."

After interviewing Youngblood, I felt a sickening dread in the pit of my stomach. It occurred to me that we are in a race against our own president and Congress as they force our nation into an open border quagmire. That's why they dumb down our school system so we won't have enough people thinking and responding to this nightmare. Notice the NFL, NBA and MLB receive more attendance than our voting booths. That's why they force multiple languages on our country without end to disrupt our ability to communicate among ourselves. It causes confusion,

conflict and violence that in the end, breaks down Constitutional Law in our functioning society.

That's why they, our leaders, bankrupt our finances at \$7.4 trillion in debt so we're dependent on foreign investors who buy up land and move in like a locust

plague. How about the IRS reporting the loss of \$311 to \$400 billion annually from employers not paying income taxes on employed illegal aliens? Notice Detroit becoming a Middle Eastern stronghold and Vancouver, Canada now suffering a Chinese beachhead of immigrants. Los Angeles is no longer an American city. For proof, all those signs in the backs of car windows driven by illegals state the obvious, "F*** YOU! THIS IS MEXICO."

Bush, Congress, corporations and the elite have moved our manufacturing base out of America in the last 20 years by outsourcing, insourcing, offshoring and breaking all our federal laws by encouraging 15-20 million illegal aliens to work at penury wages. In the process, they demolish our functioning Middle Class. Recall that Third World countries feature a high class and a low class. NO MIDDLE CLASS!

How do we stop it? We stop them. We vote the bastards out of office in 2006, which will be a resurgence of citizen protests to stop our free society from becoming a clone of India, China, Mexico, South America and Africa. We must elect American citizens to displace the Teddy Kennedy's, John McCain's, Jim Kyl's, Kolbe's, Mark Udall's, Chris Cannon's, Nancy Pelosi's, Diana Degette's, Orrin Hatch's, David Dreier's and most other scoundrels from continuing the destruction of our precious country. We need the Robinson's of North Carolina, Throckmorton's in Utah, Graf's of Arizona, Norwood and Deal from Georgia and Tancredo of Colorado to stand up all over the country. If not, we won't have a country much longer.

This national nightmare is deadly serious fellow Americans and moving faster than you can imagine. Write for my 28-point action letter that will empower you with actions you can take to save your country. For you West Coast night owls, every Thursday you can catch yours truly in Las Vegas, Nevada on Mark Edwards' "Wake Up America" talk show on 50,000 watt KDWN-Am-720 10:00 PM to midnight PT, or on the worldwide internet at www.AmericanVoiceRadio.com. Five nights a week, www.wakeupamericafoundation.com, Edwards engages patriots from across the nation to bring you the latest on this nation-destroying invasion—and what you can do about it.

© 2005 Frosty Wooldridge - All Rights Reserved

Peace? Or just another strategic deception?

By Earl Wilson, Florida

Suddenly in just the last few days an amazing change has occurred in both the Middle East war danger and the United States' debate in Congress over the Iraq War. Ariel Sharon has become the champion of peace with the Palestinians, resigning from the Likud Party and forming his own new peace party. And in the U.S. Congress, following upon the demand that the U.S. withdraw all troops from Iraq "as soon as possible" by Democratic Congressman John Murtha, the press, media and the White House suddenly became surprisingly conciliatory and sympathetic. What is going on?

Is peace in the Middle East finally about to dawn? Has Ariel Sharon ("the Butcher") really changed his sports? Are Bush and the Neo-Cons really abandoning their drive for enlarging the war against Iraq, Iran and Syria? (... and the Islamic world?)

We can only hope that peace really is ahead in the Middle East.

But the sudden changes in both Israel and Washington arouse our suspicions. They seem to be coordinated. For what purpose?

The U.S. polls recently have shown a significant drop in public support for the Iraq war, as well as a drop in the popularity of the Bush administration and its conduct of the war. We have also been hearing complaints about an increase in anti-Semitism in the U.S.

If these changes really are responses to the shift in public opinion, we rejoice that the "powers that be" are so responsive to the will of the people. If this is so, then it also indicates that the Neo-Cons, the Secret Empire and their allies are now less certain of their control of public opinion through their controlled press and media. Can we even hope that perhaps the puny efforts of indignant patriots through the Internet, new books, private news letters, patriotic newspapers, etc., may have played a small part in this?

But we can be certain that this is just a strategic retreat, not anything like a surrender. They will regroup, repair the holes in their camouflage, and work out a new plan to avoid the mistakes which exposed their Middle East ambitions to public view.

We can take heart from our temporary and limited success in this matter ... and we should give Thanks. But we must never forget that their main objective is the control and subjugation of America. We must redouble our efforts to restore real Constitutional self-government to our wounded nation.

Where is the Accountability?

By Virginia Brooks, Ohio

Freedoms lost under Clinton and Bush Presidential years!

- #1. Searches and seizures without warrant
- #2. Homeland Security and the Patriot Act {many of our constitutional rights gone}
- #3. Protesters beaten and thrown into jail
- #4. Shipped most of our good jobs overseas or south of the border through unconstitutional Free Trade Deals
- #5. Habeas Corpus lost
- #6 Imminent Domain authority given to Big Business, Corporations over property owners.
- #7. Thought & Hate Crimes now a norm
- #8. The funding of schools in Ohio ruled unconstitutional
- #9. National ID Card & Chips in Drivers License
- #10. Taking programs away from needy without jobs in U.S., money sent overseas to every free program anyone can conceive
- #11. Every Illegal alien given free medical care, green cards, Social Security and SSI benefits, food stamps, Welfare, and millions given Amnesty so they could vote in U.S. Elections.
- #12. Many Patriots thrown in prison without cause, and ruled Enemy Combatants, without rights to call their attorney or read their Miranda Rights, held without bail and may never be seen again.
- #13. Taking God, One Nation, Under God, any mention of a Christian Nation, even the mention of Christmas in Stores, and only this morning I heard that the president (and I use that term loosely) allowed them to call the Christmas Tree, A holiday tree!
- #14. Bush is touting another free trade deal overseas as we speak, the FTAA, if this passes our borders will be erased and our constitution gone, if it isn't already! The Sovereignty of this nation gone forever.

Time and again, we find disaster upon disaster being committed by people in jobs of responsibility and never being held accountable. Why is this, and why do we as Citizens allow them to go untarnished or even convicted for their crimes. I see township people being prosecuted, some going to prison while others going scot free, but people in high places, so far have mostly went unscathed. Look at Governor Taft in Ohio! He was convicted of a crime, but remains in office, while so far he has went

without prosecution for the Workman's Compensation Fiasco which has cost the Ohio Taxpayers millions, if not billions of dollars. Why didn't voters turn Taft's Issue one down, which further allows Ohio Citizens to be bilked out of their property and allows big business to take property for their own profit. All because Taft says Issue One will bring more jobs to Ohio? What a laugh! Once again, Taft has pulled the wool over the voters eyes, so he can pay back contributors to his re-election campaign. Taft & Big Money wins! When will we learn that the big money delberts have got to be stopped, before fraud free elections can occur, or true Patriots can be elected. The big money delberts and the two party system that has placed this country in jeopardy has to be stopped.

Once again Voters approve levies for schools, when everyone knows that the Supreme Court has ruled that it is illegal for schools to be funded almost solely from property taxes and that the state must come up with another way of funding schools. Legislators have been held in contempt because they have yet to come up with a way to do this. If you or I were held in contempt, wouldn't we be serving time in jail for not following the orders of the court? As long as we continue passing the levies, nothing will change.

One only has to look at the corruption under Clinton and Bush! Clinton gave our military secrets to China and now Bush getting us into war with lies to suit his own interests and awarding contracts to his and Cheney's companies without allowing bids from other companies, passing free trades deals that have shipped all our jobs to other countries. Now Bush is overseas selling the final Free Trade Deal give away. {FTAA} The FTAA will finish this country off.

Congress just passed and Bush signed into law, giving another 350,000 jobs to other countries, either insourcing or outsourcing these jobs to Illegal aliens. Doesn't this make you wonder who our Congress or President is really working for? If you don't wonder, then maybe you should, or at least get informed and know what is going on in this country before you vote? If we don't get rid of the people who are passing unconstitutional legislation, we will no longer have a country called the United States of America and our sovereignty will be gone forever!

Please join the New Frontier Coalition today and help us get people who love this country better than themselves, to stand as one to help us get the right people elected that will do what is right for this country.

www.newfrontiercoalition.com

ERASING AMERICA!

By Steven Yates

NewsWithViews.com

The United States of America, once—long ago—a Constitutional republic, is being erased. The erasure of America isn't reported on the 6 o'clock news, of

course. It isn't much noticed, because it is happening too slowly—although the pace has increased over the past couple of decades. Be this as it may, there is no need to speak of "conspiracy theories." For one thing, it isn't a theory. It is as much a fact as gravity. It is being carried out in plain sight, not behind closed doors in smoke filled rooms. Anyone with Web access can follow the process. Those in the business of erasing America know, however, that they are operating in a culture whose educational system has been strip-mined, so to speak. America's masses by and large don't know what a Constitutional republic is, and use the Web the same way they use television—for entertainment. But all you need to know is there, and you don't have to stick with NewsWithViews.com or Steven Yates's [blog](#).

Go, for example, to the website of the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR). There you will find a document entitled [Building a North American Community](#). It first appeared on the site this past spring. Checking in at 47 pages excluding acknowledgements and other front matter, Building a North American Community provides a blueprint for the integration of the United States, Mexico and Canada under a single supranational authority. This plan would, for all practical purposes, dissolve the borders between each nation and end the lip-service that must still be paid to the Constitution within our own. It would bring NAFTA to fruition, building more of the "architecture of a new international system" about which Dr. Henry Kissinger spoke candidly back in 1993 when NAFTA was being accorded bipartisan support as a "free trade" agreement.

Regional unification would solve the illegal immigration problem by fiat, of course, by promoting the free movement of peoples across the former borders, an idea Mexican president Vicente Fox has promoted openly on numerous occasions. We will doubtless still speak of a United States, a Mexico, and a Canada. But their status would be very much like the status of formerly independent nations of the European Union, which is becoming a unified political entity whose citizens move as freely across borders as we do from state to state. The Europeans are just a few years ahead of us on the curve. The CFR report, which went online late last spring, has the endorsement of the Bush Administration. On March 23 of this past year, President Bush, Mexican President Vicente Fox, and Canadian Prime Minister Paul Martin joined in committing their governments to this "regional integration."

For the details here, go to the website of the [Security and Prosperity Partnership of North America](#) (SPP). President

Bush has spoken of the common commitment of the three eventually-to-be-dissolved North American nations “to markets and democracy, freedom and trade, and mutual prosperity and security.” Notice that he speaks of markets, not free markets. Note that he speaks of democracy, when what our Founding Fathers created was (in Ben Franklin’s immortal words) “a republic, if you can keep it.” Again, because of the strip-mining of our educational system mentioned above, he can get away with this. The average [School-To-Work](#) high school graduate has no idea that America was founded as a republic and not a democracy, by men severely critical of democracy. As for prosperity, it seems clear that since NAFTA we have grown not more but less prosperous as our national economy has literally bled manufacturing jobs and replaced them with low-paying “service sector” jobs. By 2003, almost ten years after NAFTA went into effect, America’s middle class was massively in debt, [the average debt by those owning one credit card being \\$9,250](#), up over \$6,000 from 1990.

By September of this year, our savings rates had actually [gone negative](#). Unemployment is much larger than the government’s official statistics reveal, because those statistics do not count people who have ceased seeking work as unemployed. Moreover, no one keeps statistics on underemployment, the employment of men and women at jobs well beneath their educational level or mental capacity. Examples of the latter: the science graduate who stocks shelves at the local Wal-Mart, the former high-tech employee [compelled to take a job sorting mail](#). There is simply no evidence that eroding our national borders will reverse this slow destruction of the American middle class, and every reason to think the process will be accelerated as more jobs depart overseas for cheaper labor.

The contention that a unification of North America will increase the security of the three eventually-to-be-dissolved nations is even stranger. Our government will not protect its own borders despite worries of our being at risk of another terrorist attack because doing so would conflict with the regional integration desired by the super elite. For many of us this points directly at the deceptive nature of the “war on terror”: if the feds were really interested in protecting the American public, the Bush Administration would have long pulled America out of all this misguided globalism, forgotten about that boondoggle in Iraq, recalled our troops from the hundred or so nations where they are stationed overseas, and used them to close our porous borders.

But never mind all that. The SPP will create a far larger perimeter to defend! Mexico has a southern border as

porous as our own, if not more so. Small wonder that Lou Dobbs, one of the few voices in the mainstream media drawing attention to the problems with recent pseudo-free trade accords and immigration policies, can ask, [Have our political elites gone mad?](#)

The plan is to have the super-elites’ North American Community in place by the year 2010. Here is what they want:

- Military and law enforcement cooperation between all three eventually-to-be-dissolved nations;
- Canadians and Mexicans brought into the American Department of Homeland Security;
- “[T]emporary migrant worker programs expanded with full mobility of labor between the three countries in the next five years.

Not even the mainstream media is ignoring this. The above-mentioned Dobbs posed his question on CNN. As I said at the outset, the super-elite is not really hiding anymore! Consider the following exchange that took place on the air between CNN’s Christine Romans and Dobbs:

Romans: “The idea here is to make North America more like the European Union...”

Dobbs: “Americans must think that our political and academic elites have gone utterly mad at a time when three-and-a-half years, approaching four years after September 11, we still don’t have border security. And this group of elites is talking about not defending our borders, finally, but rather creating new ones. It’s astonishing.”

Romans: “The theory here is that we are stronger together, three countries in one, rather than alone.”

Dobbs: “Well, it’s a— it’s a mind-boggling concept....”

It is also official, as one can see just from consulting the relevant websites. It is not something we “conspiracy kooks” made up. We are moving—in overdrive—towards a state of affairs that will effectively end what little is left of the supremacy of the U.S. Constitution and the idea of limited government to a state of affairs in which Americans will answer to unelected supra-national bureaucrats—possibly without even realizing it!

We may add to all of this the [Free Trade Area of the Americas](#) (FTAA), which has not gone away but been temporarily shifted to the back burner. This latter may be due to the adverse publicity following the obvious strong-

arm tactics the Bush Administration used to get CAFTA passed in the House last summer. The FTAA has fallen on apparent hard times, with the dissolution of the [Fourth Summit of the Americas meeting in Argentina](#) amidst a chaos of dissent and protest. Protests also attended the meeting that occurred in Canada four years ago. No one who studies these agreements wants them except the super elite, who see themselves as getting even richer from them. Its members have had setbacks before. They always eventually regroup. The creation of a North American Community places them in a good position to move forward nevertheless, following an agenda formulated by Zbigniew Brzezinski in his book *Between Two Ages*, which became the bible of David Rockefeller Sr.’s Trilateral Commission. Regional integration under NAFTA [has already created tribunals](#) whose members see themselves as having the authority to overrule U.S. court decisions.

The long-term goal, of course, is a world government that would subordinate all the affected peoples to an encirclement of regulatory controls by internationalist bureaucrats, most likely under the auspices of the United Nations whose sustainable development policies are carrying forth the effort on the domestic front. [Sustainable development](#), as Michael Shaw recently [showed in detail](#), is transforming communities all across America, city by city, county by county, and steadily depriving individuals of their private property rights and their mobility. Combine these two—international policies aimed at dissolving entire nations and domestic ones dissolving Constitutional liberties by stealth—and you have the incipient New World Order. Expect it no later than 2010—unless, of course, we experience the kind of economic meltdown described by Devvy Kidd in a recent [two-part article](#). [Must see video; [Liberty or Sustainable Development](#)]

World government has, of course, been the goal of the super elite from the start. It was the goal of the Round Table Groups created with Cecil Rhodes; it has been the goal of the Fabian Society, which set the entire English-speaking world on the road to socialism. It was the goal of “Colonel” Edward Mandell House, who had written anonymously (in Philip Dru: Administrator) of “socialism as dreamt of by Karl Marx.” House sat always at President Woodrow Wilson’s side as he maneuvered this country into what became World War I, and then went on to guide the founding of the CFR.

The UN’s backers have always seen it as destined to emerge as a world government. In 1962, the U.S. State Department commissioned MIT Professor

Lincoln Bloomfield to produce an essay entitled "A World Effectively Controlled by the United Nations." This essay's Summary opens with these words: "A world effectively controlled by the United Nations is one in which 'world government' would come about through the establishment of supranational institutions, characterized by mandatory universal membership and some ability to employ physical force. Effective control would thus entail a preponderance of political power in the hands of a supranational organization rather than in individual national units, and would assume the effective operation of a general disarmament agreement."

Between Two Worlds spoke of an "emerging international consciousness" and called for establishing a "community of the developed nations" focusing particularly on Western Europe, Japan and the United States. "This country's commitment to international affairs on a global scale has been decided by history," Brzezinski wrote. "It cannot be undone, and the only remaining relevant question is what its form and goals will be." A few short years later, one-time ambassador Richard Gartner (CFR) wrote of bringing about an "end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece" in his oft-cited "The Hard Road to World Order" in the CFR's flagship journal Foreign Affairs (1974).

Arguably, this movement went into overdrive during the period 1992-93, which saw the rise of NAFTA, the emergence of sustainable development, the election of the globalist Clinton Administration, and much else besides. It has succeeded in globalizing the curricula in government schools at all levels, from elementary grades to research universities, so that internationalism is simply accepted and the Constitution (with rare exceptions) is simply bypassed, except perhaps as a historical relic. Whether President Bush will find some pretext to be done with it and institute martial law (perhaps following an outbreak of bird flu and calling for the quarantining of an entire city) remains to be seen. Domestic martial law would make it much easier for the super elite to get what it wants without putting up with the grass-roots scuffles it had to deal with over CAFTA. It will enable them to coerce silence from the public and imprison those who refuse to shut up. Admittedly most Americans are still too busy watching football to pay much attention to this; but it is doubtful that they are sufficiently conditioned for martial law. After all, Bush's approval ratings are at an all-time low, and the number of people who don't trust his consolidation of power at the federal level has been on the increase especially since

the Katrina debacle.

Supposing we avoid martial law a few more years, will America's masses go along with the dissolution of this country like a bunch of sheep? They can do so by continuing to vote for Demopublicans. Or they can put a stop to it by recognizing that something has gone seriously wrong, waking up, and then getting behind a credible Independent candidate in 2008. It would be nice to see Independents elected to Congress in 2006, but it's doubtful since such candidates ought to be building up their war chests now, and I know of no cases. If America's masses continue mindlessly voting for CFR-controlled Demopublicans, the agenda I have been describing will continue apace.

Be all this as it may, I do not think this agenda will come to fruition. The New World Order world government will not fall into place the way the super elite wants. Brzezinski notwithstanding, it won't happen. Why not? It won't be protests in the streets that stop it. It won't be a third party. What will stop it, if we do not, are the basic laws of economics. Not even the super elite is powerful or wealthy enough to repeal basic economics, which tells us that real wealth must be produced and not borrowed against the future, and that not even governments can live indefinitely beyond their means. The New World Order is being built up on an unsustainable mountain of debt. One day this mountain must collapse like a house of cards. Much of the prosperity we claim for ourselves now is bogus—as imaginary as were the futures of the dot-com millionaires of the late 1990s who have since gone bankrupt. The reason for this last: the late 1990s were not years of real productivity but of massive credit expansion, which means easy money—all of it borrowed. Real jobs were disappearing; public education, pursuing the School-To-Work and Workforce Investment agendas, was circling the drain.

When entire economic systems pursue paths of reckless borrowing, eventually someone must pay. Today's debts have reached unpayable levels, and as Devvy Kidd argued in the article referenced above, the edifice is getting increasingly wobbly. Its collapse is not a matter of if, but when. Of course, super elite control over the "global economy" will have done enough damage to plunge much of the world into a depression that will make the 1930s look like a bad dream by comparison. So here are a few things one ought to do if one is capable: (1) homeschool your children; (2) do not live in a "sustainable" community; get out of the controlled urban environment; (3) buy precious metals, whose value will go up as the value of our fiat dollar goes down; (4) store a supply of food, water, vitamins, toiletries,

batteries and battery-powered equipment capable of lasting at least half a year; (5) learn to grow vegetables; (6) learn to use firearms for protection against the looters and thugs that will doubtless roam the land following infrastructural collapse—just picture the entire continent looking like New Orleans following Hurricane Katrina minus the flood waters, and you get the idea.

I would think anyone with functioning brain cells would be motivated to see to it that this scenario never happens. Whether its possibility will actually sink into the consciousness of today's public remains questionable, however; even its portrayal in a made-for-TV movie on a major network where millions could tune in would be lost in the spate of disaster films we've seen this fall. Hopefully, some of those currently being homeschooled will keep the idea of Constitutionally limited government alive, as we continue the struggle to alert more and more Americans that the country they grew up in is slowly being erased. © 2005 Steven Yates - All Rights Reserved

Give the gift of one year subscriptions to friends and relatives this year for "Christmas" This money helps us to get the NFC News out once a month, and the information is wonderful to copy and send to others to let them know about the NFC. Please pass the word on!
Thanks Virginia Brooks

The NFC News is published monthly by the New Frontier Coalition of the United States of America. The articles in this paper reflect the opinions of the individuals who have submitted them and are not necessarily those of the New Frontier Coalition of the United States of America.

Copyright © 2005 New Frontier Coalition of the United States of America. All rights reserved. All property rights for this publication are the property of the New Frontier Coalition of the United States of America. No part herein may be reproduced without prior written consent.

Subscriptions are available for \$25 per year. Payments and donations should be sent to:

NFC Public Relations Committee
Virginia Brooks, Editor
1196 Twp. Rd. 2116
Ashland, Ohio 44805
419-368-6074
vareforms1@bright.net
Managing Editor, Richard Green
TexanInTheSpirit@aol.com
Circulation Director, Lori Weston
lw60849@charter.net
Visit our website at
www.newfrontiercoalition.com

